

# Developing a Solution's IT Architecture

Using a “top down”, requirements driven approach


Separating concerns: organizing the requirements and design into distinct parts

Incrementally developing business requirements and their IT solution



Dr. Marcel Schlatter  
IBM Distinguished Engineer  
Member of the IBM Academy of Technology  
[marcel.schlatter@ch.ibm.com](mailto:marcel.schlatter@ch.ibm.com)

Defining and documenting the various aspects of the IT solution's requirements and design is achieved by using a set of **IT Architecture work products**, each focused on a specific view of the IT system


Separation of concerns



The IT architect uses three core work products to document the business requirements their IT System will support...




**“Use Case Model”** defines what the IT system must do for all its business (and other) users – it describes the system behaviour at the “automation boundary”




**“System Context”** defines the boundaries of the to be designed system, documenting the external users and other IT systems with which this system must interact

**“Non functional requirements”** describe the characteristics of the IT systems functionality, such as transaction response times and workload volumes




## The System Context is essential to capturing the scope of the project



- **The System Context helps to:**

- Clarify the environment in which the system has to operate
- Put bounds on the system
- Identify external interfaces (users or systems)

# System Context




# The IT architect uses four core work products to document and communicate their IT system's design

## Software Engineering


### “Component Model”

describes the structure of an IT System in terms of its software components with their responsibilities, interfaces and relationships, and the way they collaborate to deliver the required functionality.



### “Architecture Overview Diagram”

provides a picture (not a model) of the whole IT system “on a page” as a means of communicating the salient points of the design. AODs are audience specific




## Systems Engineering

“Operational Model” defines the organisation of the IT system across locations, documenting the placement of the solution’s components onto nodes connected across the organisation, in order to achieve the solution’s operational NFRs




## IT Solution Design




| ID   | Name             | Type  | Unit Group | Viability | Currency |
|------|------------------|-------|------------|-----------|----------|
| 01.1 | Asset Summary    | Asset | Asset      | Asset     | Asset    |
| 01.2 | Asset Allocation | Asset | Asset      | Asset     | Asset    |
| 02   | Asset Allocation | Asset | Asset      | Asset     | Asset    |
| 03   | Asset Allocation | Asset | Asset      | Asset     | Asset    |
| 04   | Asset Allocation | Asset | Asset      | Asset     | Asset    |

“Deployment Units” represent various aspects of components, as a convenient means of documenting their non functional requirements, as well as their placement across the Operational Model

# An Architecture Overview for Nontechnical Audiences




## An Architecture Overview with Data Flow






## An Architecture Overview showing the different tiers of a shopping system



## The Component Modeling technique consists of three steps...



- Partition into subsystems and components and assign responsibilities
- Review architectural patterns, reference architectures, and reusable assets
- Structure ensuring loose coupling, high cohesion, and so on





- Specify interfaces
- Specify operations and signatures
- Specify pre- and post-conditions





- Identify products and packages
- Define implementation approach

...which are performed iteratively




Each step is applied, to varying degrees, at different points in the delivery process




## Why build a Component Model?

- **Bridges the gap between the requirements (the “what”) and the solution (the “how”)**
- **Helps us to visualize and understand the system (today's complex, software intensive systems cannot be comprehended without models)**
- **Specifies the structure or behavior of the system and documents decisions made**
- **Allows placement decisions to be made about where components will execute**





The Component Model is used as input into a number of activities



- Work Allocation
- Version Control
- Design Strategy
- Reuse
- Testing
- Project Management
- Product/Package Selection


Layering involves separating out components according to their generality

- **Layering provides a logical partitioning of components into a number of sets (layers)**
- **Rules define relationships between layers**
  - Strict: Components only depend on components in the same layer or the one below
  - Non-Strict: Components may depend on components in any lower layer
- **Layering provides a way to restrict inter-component dependencies**
- **Well-layered systems are more loosely coupled and therefore more easily maintained**




## An example of layered architecture

- The dialogue control layer handles user-system interactions and use case logic
- The business processing layer contains application-specific services that handle use case step logic and choreography
- The business services layer contains more general business components that may be used in several applications
- The middleware layer contains components such as interfaces to databases and platform-independent operating system services
- The system software layer contains components such as operating systems and databases





# Layers and tiers



# Operational Model

How do we decide where a system's components should go?


Let us consider a simple example: a “single component” system...

...Microsoft Word

Let us think about what we have to do, when “deploying” Word onto a very simple environment:



What is it we have to deploy? Where does “it” go? Let us sketch up some ideas...



There are many ways of deploying a single component into a simple system...

### Option 1



- Presentation
- Execution
- Data
- Installation

Word, running on XP, stand-alone

### Option 2



- Presentation
- Execution



- Data
- Installation

- Word, running on XP, with remote file serving

### Option 3



- Presentation
- Data



- Execution
- Installation

Word, running on Citrix, with local data

### Option 4



- Presentation



- Execution
- Data
- Installation

Word, running on Citrix, with remote file serving

# The Operational Model represents the system's “infrastructure architecture”, using a variety of model elements

- The geographic structure of the **locations** and their **borders**, over which the IT system will be deployed and operated
- The placement of the system's **nodes** into these locations
- The deployment of the system's components across these nodes, using **deployment units**
- The **connections** between nodes
- The organisation of the system's elements into **zones**
- Sizing and other **hardware specifications** for all the computers, storage devices and network technologies

So, for our simple WORD example, we should first identify:

**(1) That the Word(\*) component has the following deployment units:**

(\*) we'll worry about "logical" v "physical" later!

| DU                 | Description                                  | Characteristics (e.g.)  |
|--------------------|--|---|
| P1_WYSIWYG_Display | Microsoft Word desktop UI                    | Minimum screen size: 1024 x 768   |
| E1_Word            | Microsoft Word execution                     | Required operating memory: 512 MB<br>Minimum CPU (equiv): 1Ghz  |
| D1_User_Documents  | Word documents being edited by the users     | Typical document size: 5 MB<br>Typical active documents: 100<br>Some documents are critical to business operation |
| I1_Word_Install    | Executables and libraries for Microsoft Word | Package size: 150 MB<br>Average patch release frequency: 7 days   |


**(2) There will be two locations**

- L\_Branch\_Office, which represents where Word users work
- L\_Central\_site, which represents a IT services data centre

**(3) And two nodes**

- N1\_Office\_Workstation, which represents a Microsoft Windows PC
- N2\_Central\_Server, which represents a Microsoft Windows Server

## Option 1 - a local installation, with all DUs on the Office Workstation...




### But this approach has many systems management issues:

- Software updates becomes an issue: e.g. regular security patches issued by Software vendor
- Backup and recovery the responsibility of the end user
- Number of users and number of branches



### Reconsidering the placement decisions leads to...

## Option 4: using a pattern based on a server-side installation with data served remotely





**This is a much more manageable software update and data management regime.**

**However...**

- ...desktops may not have appropriate remote file server capability...
- ...not all end users may be able to easily access central servers...
- ...some workstations may be unable to support remote execution...




# The Operational Model



**Operational Model**



**Systems Engineering**





**relationship diagram**





# Operational Model -- Geographic Background




## Specified Operational Model




## Physical Operational Model




The IT architect uses four core work products as a means of understanding the wider IT constraints placed on the solution by the enterprise or project




**“IT Standards”** document the project’s IT design, development and deployment standards, and therefore normally include standards that range across all aspects of the IT Architect’s work. They can be derived from external, enterprise level sources such as an Enterprise Architecture, or they may be agreed on from within the project.



**“Current IT”** documents the environment into which the IT system will be deployed. (It is normal for a design to require modifications to an existing system)





**“Reference Architectures”** describe best practice patterns on the manner in which well understood requirements can be solved. RAs are often provided as part of an enterprise architecture, in which case they document the enterprise’s preferred approaches to IT systems design, usually exploiting a standard set of IT system “building blocks”




...and two to ensure the overall IT Architecture is viable

...can we do it within cost & time budget, with acceptable risk ?


**“Viability Analysis”** - a systematic approach, reviewing the IT system “from all angles” to help ensure it will actually work and meet the needs of the business. Includes an assessment of the IT system’s Requirements (and constraints), functional and operational architecture, and analysis of it’s ability to deliver the required performance, availability, security and all other non functional characteristics.



**“Service Level Characteristics Analysis”**  
Focuses specifically on assessing the IT system’s ability to achieve the solution’s non functional requirements (NFRs), often comparing design options (e.g. centralised v distributed) and NFR tradeoffs (e.g. performance, or availability?)



Work products are not, generally, developed sequentially (“waterfall”). IT architects discuss “the art of the possible” throughout the project lifecycle with business analysts, developers and others, enabling the IT consequences of the business’s requirements to be properly thought through...




...so that the project team (business and all parts of IT) can work more closely together, as well as helping ensure the project deals with difficulties very early in the lifecycle.

| Phase Deliverable                   | Phase A  | Phase B               | Phase C               |
|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Business Requirements Specification | Complete | <i>Change control</i> | <i>Change control</i> |
| IT Solution Requirements Analysis   |          | Complete              | <i>Change control</i> |
| IT Solution Design                  |          |                       | Complete              |



| Phase Deliverable                   | Solution Outline        | Macro Design                     | Micro Design          |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Business Requirements Specification | High Level, qualitative | Complete                         | <i>Change control</i> |
| IT Solution Requirements Analysis   | Outline System          | IT scope fully defined, key NFRs | Complete              |
| IT Solution Design                  | Key identified          | Outline solution defined         | Complete              |



Catch “show-stopping problems” early in the project, enabling (if necessary) the project to be terminated at much less cost