

Stakeholders in Requirements Engineering

Martin Glinz and Roel J. Wieringa

Vol. 24, No. 2 March/April 2007

This material is presented to ensure timely dissemination of scholarly and technical work. Copyright and all rights therein are retained by authors or by other copyright holders. All persons copying this information are expected to adhere to the terms and constraints invoked by each author's copyright. In most cases, these works may not be reposted without the explicit permission of the copyright holder.



© 2007 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to reprint/republish this material for advertising or promotional purposes or for creating new collective works for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or to reuse any copyrighted component of this work in other works must be obtained from the IEEE.

For more information, please see www.ieee.org/portal/pages/about/documentation/copyright/polilink.html.

guest editors' introduction......

"STAKEHOLDERS" Across: 3) Access 5) Portability 7) Resources 8) Efficiency B) Efficiency 1) Budget

Reliability Schedule Flexibility

Stakeholders in Requirements Engineering

Martin Glinz, University of Zurich Roel J. Wieringa, University of Twente

o build a useful system, we need to know its requirements; to know its requirements, we need to know the stakeholders' desires and needs. The term *stakeholder* generalizes the traditional notion of *customer* or *user* in requirements engineering to all parties involved in a system's requirements (see the sidebar "What Is a Stakeholder?"). The growing attention being paid to stakeholders' needs and desires reflects the growing importance of

requirements engineering (RE) in software and systems development.

"Understanding the Stakeholders' Desires and Needs" was also the theme of the 14th IEEE International Requirements Engineering Conference.1 From the RE '06 conference and its theme, the idea for this special issue emerged. We invited authors of RE '06 papers that specifically dealt with stakeholder issues to submit a practiceoriented view of their work. After a round of IEEE Soft*ware* peer review, we chose two of these submissions for this issue. We also solicited contributions in a public call for papers and chose two of these submissions after review. In addition, the issue contains a technical opinion piece on stakeholders in global RE and a Point-Counterpoint debate.

Identifying the stakeholders

Stakeholder identification precedes any other RE activity: we must first determine who they are and how important they are.

Suppose we want to elicit and document a software system's requirements. To identify the relevant stakeholder roles, we look for persons or organizations who

have an active interest in the system because they'll actually use it or are directly involved in processes that the system will change;

- must manage, introduce, operate, or maintain the system after its deployment;
- are involved in developing the system as an architect, developer, tester, quality engineer, or project manager;
- are responsible for the business or process that the system supports or automates;
- have a financial interest (for example, they've ordered the system, paid for it, or are responsible for its sale);
- constrain the system as regulators; or
- are negatively affected by the system (socalled *negative stakeholders*).

Not all stakeholders are equally important, so we must prioritize the identified stakeholder roles—for example, into critical, major, and minor. We typically base prioritization on assessing the risk we incur by ignoring or neglecting a stakeholder:

- If neglect might kill the project or render the system useless, the stakeholder's role is critical.
- If neglect would have a significant negative impact on the system, the stakeholder has a major role.
- If neglect would have marginal impact on the system, the stakeholder's role is minor.

Finally, we must select representative individuals or groups from the identified and prioritized stakeholder roles with whom we can elicit and validate system requirements.

This issue's articles

"Stakeholders in Global Requirements Engineering: Lessons Learned from Practice," by Daniela Damian, highlights the problem of dealing with stakeholders in globally distributed settings, which is increasingly the case with the rise of global software engineering. She identifies the challenges that go beyond what traditional projects face and recommends ways to cope. Her article also points to RE '07, whose theme will be "Understanding Requirements in the Global Economy." The conference will take place in October in Delhi, India.

To support active stakeholder participation in the RE process, we need a platform for stakeholder communication. Wikis are a well-known lightweight concept for supporting collaboration among distributed persons. Björn Decker, Eric Ras, Jörg Rech, Pascal Jaubert, and Marco

What Is a Stakeholder?

In everyday life, a stakeholder is a person or group that has an interest or share in a business or enterprise (originally, it meant the holder of the bets in a game). In RE, the term appeared in the '90s when it became apparent that the then-used terms "client," "customer," and "user" were too specific. The 1990 *IEEE Standard Glossary of Software Engineering Terminology* had not yet listed it.¹ The first definition we're aware of appeared in 1993 in a paper by Linda Macaulay.² Based on Ian Mitroff's work,³ Macaulay defined stakeholders as "all those who have a stake in the change being considered, those who stand to gain from it, and those who stand to lose." Today, we define the term as follows:

A stakeholder is a person or organization who influences a system's requirements or who is impacted by that system.

Frequently, roles are used instead of individuals. Typical stakeholder roles in an average software project are, for example, end user, project sponsor or client, architect, developer, tester, quality engineer, project manager, product manager, operator, and maintainer.

Meanwhile, the term stakeholder is also used in other fields for a person or organization that has an interest in the outcome of, or is impacted by, a project, service, or decision.

References

- IEEE Std. 610.12-1990, Standard Glossary of Software Engineering Terminology, IEEE Press, 1990.
- L. Macaulay, "Requirements Capture as a Cooperative Activity," Proc. 1st IEEE Int'l Symp. Requirements Eng., IEEE CS Press, 1993, pp. 174–181.
- 3. I.I. Mitroff, Stakeholders of the Organizational Mind, Jossey-Bass, 1983.

Rieth explore the use of wikis for stakeholder collaboration in "Wiki-Based Stakeholder Participation in Requirements Engineering." They see wikis as a more powerful method than email and office tools but less expensive and easier to use than full-fledged collaboration or requirements management tools.

A software project isn't only a chance for stakeholders to get what they need or desire. Some stakeholders might also be negatively affected. Hence, a good RE process must manage the risks arising from negative project perceptions of or impacts to stakeholders. In "Stakeholder Risk Assessment: An Outcome-Based Approach," Richard W. Woolridge, Denise J. McManus, and Joanne E. Hale present an outcome-based model for assessing stakeholder risks that identifies deficits between expected and desired stakeholder impact and perceptions. These deficits are a valuable source for identifying requirements risks and corresponding mitigation plans.

Because stakeholders are situated in their working environment, eliciting their needs di-

Further Reading

- Guide to the Software Engineering Body of Knowledge (SWEBOK), IEEE CS Press, 2004.
- L.A. Macaulay, Requirements Engineering, Springer, 1996.
- B. Nuseibeh and S. Easterbrook, "Requirements Engineering: A Roadmap," The Future of Software Engineering, 22nd Int'l Conf. Software Eng., ACM Press, 2000, pp. 35–46.
- O. Preiss and A. Wegmann, "Stakeholder Discovery and Classification Based on Systems Science Principles," Proc. 2nd Asia-Pacific Conf. Quality Software (APAQS 01), IEEE CS Press, 2001, pp. 194–198.
- H. Sharp, G.H. Galal, and A. Finkelstein, "Stakeholder Identification in the Requirements Engineering Process," Proc. 10th Int'l Workshop Database and Expert System Applications, IEEE CS Press, 1999, pp. 387–391.

About the Authors



Martin Glinz is a full professor of informatics at the University of Zurich. He chairs the steering committee of the IEEE International Requirements Engineering Conference and was program chair of RE '06. His interests include requirements and software engineering, in particular modeling and validation, and software engineering education. He received his Dr. rer. nat. from RWTH Aachen University. Contact him at the Dept. of Informatics, Univ. of Zurich, Binzmühlestrasse 14, 8050 Zurich, Switzerland; glinz@ifi.unizh.ch.

Roel J. Wieringa is a full professor of information systems at the University of Twente. His research interests include value-based requirements engineering and business-IT alignment. He received his PhD in computer science from the Free University Amsterdam. He also served as a program chair and steering committee chair of the IEEE International RE Conference. Contact him at the Dept. of Computer Science, Faculty of Electrical Eng., Mathematics and Computer Science, Univ. of Twente, PO Box 217, 7500 AE Enschede, Netherlands; roelw@cs.utwente.nl.



OUESTIONS? COMMENSE DEEE Software wants to hear from you!

EMAIL SOftware@computer.org

rectly at work would be advantageous instead of doing so in the sterile atmosphere of a meeting room. In "Determining Stakeholder Needs in the Workplace: How Mobile Technologies Can Help," the authors Neil Maiden, Norbert Seyff, Paul Grünbacher, Omo Otojare, and Karl Mitteregger discuss eliciting and validating requirements using mobile requirements tools for PDAs. They report results from studies and present lessons learned.

When describing requirements, stakeholders often have different interpretations of the terms they use to describe their problem domain; this phenomenon is called *terminological interference*. Nan Niu and Steve Easterbrook deal with this problem in "So You Think You Know Others' Goals? A Repertory Grid Study." They present a technique to detect terminological interference in the ways that stakeholders express nonfunctional requirements, using Kelly's Repertory Grid Technique to analyze and compare stakeholders' beliefs. They demonstrate their technique in a pilot study for a nonprofit organization.

In the Point-Counterpoint discussion, Ian Alexander and Kent Beck argue whether developers must give stakeholders what they desire. Yes, satisfying stakeholders' desires is crucial for product success. No, this leads to gold-plating and lets developers run away from their responsibility for the product. Read the Point-Counterpoint discussion for more pros and cons.

e hope the ideas and experiences described here will help you deal with stakeholder issues in your own projects more effectively and successfully. The texts in the "Further Reading" sidebar might also be useful. We also hope this special issue will stimulate further research and technology transfer on the topic of stakeholder management in RE. **2**

Reference

1. M. Glinz and R. Lutz, eds., Proc. 14th IEEE Int'l Requirements Eng. Conf. (RE 06), IEEE CS Press, 2006.

For more information on this or any other computing topic, please visit our Digital Library at www.computer.org/publications/dlib.